

1 SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP  
2 Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)  
3 Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)  
4 101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor  
5 Los Angeles, CA 90004  
Telephone: (213) 205-6560  
cm@SoCalEAG.com

6  
7 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
8 COLTON BRYANT  
9

10  
11  
12  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
COLTON BRYANT,

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20  
21  
22  
23  
24 THE TRANSMISSION SHOP, INC.;  
25 KENNETH BOND MARSH, AS  
26 TRUSTEE OF THE 2007 KENNETH  
27 BOND MARSH AND SHIRLEY ANN  
28 MARSH TRUST; and DOES 1 to 10,

Plaintiff  
Defendants.

**Case No.:**

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL  
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED  
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES  
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;
2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL  
RIGHTS ACT;
3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED  
PERSONS ACT;
4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY  
CODE;
5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff COLTON BRYANT ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants THE  
TRANSMISSION SHOP, INC.; KENNETH BOND MARSH, AS TRUSTEE OF THE  
2007 KENNETH BOND MARSH AND SHIRLEY ANN MARSH TRUST; and DOES 1  
to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

## PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff is diagnosed with spinal paraplegia and osteoarthritis, and is substantially limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners, business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a car repair shop (“Business”) located at or about 1918 Lomita Blvd., Lomita, California.

3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon, alleges that each such fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly and severally.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and 1333 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et seq.*).

1       7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,  
2 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,  
3 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,  
4 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

5 | 8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

6        9.      Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1331(b). The real  
7 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County,  
8 California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

10. In or about February of 2025, Plaintiff went to the Business.

11        11. The Business is a car repair shop business establishment, open to the public,  
12 and is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation.  
13 Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

14       12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally  
15 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the  
16 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

17       13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business  
18 included, but were not limited to, the following:

19           a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
20           the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
21           failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the  
22           persons with disabilities as there were no “NO PARKING” markings  
23           painted on the surface of the access aisle. Additionally, the access  
24           aisle did not meet the minimum required 96-inch width.

25           b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
26           the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
27           failed to paint the ground as required.

- 1 c. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
- 2 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
- 3 failed to paint the International Symbol of Accessibility on the surface
- 4 as required as its lower side or corner did not align with the end of the
- 5 parking space length.
- 6 d. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
- 7 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
- 8 standards. Defendants failed to maintain the mark on the space with
- 9 the International Symbol of Accessibility, resulting in the paint
- 10 becoming severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.
- 11 e. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
- 12 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
- 13 standards. Defendants failed to maintain the paint on the ground as
- 14 required, resulting in the markings becoming severely deteriorated,
- 15 hindering visibility.

16 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff full and equal access to the  
17 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and  
18 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because  
19 his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are  
20 removed.

21 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that  
22 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.  
23 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*  
24 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008).

25 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants  
26 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal  
27 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

1        17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion  
2 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to  
3 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business  
4 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24  
5 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the  
6 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

## **VIOULATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

9       18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
10 paragraphs in this complaint.

11       19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual  
12 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of  
13 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of  
14 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place  
15 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
  - b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the

1 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or  
2 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42  
3 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).

- 4 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers  
5 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation  
6 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an  
7 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that  
8 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail  
9 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where  
10 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).  
11 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum  
12 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily  
13 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including  
14 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum  
15 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the  
16 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered  
17 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with  
18 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the  
19 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area  
20 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and  
21 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

22 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be  
23 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every  
24 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in  
25 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA  
26 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall  
27 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.  
28

1       22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by  
2 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California  
3 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the  
4 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized  
5 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign  
6 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A  
7 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and  
8 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12  
9 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the  
10 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.

11      23. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted  
12 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked  
13 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting  
14 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall  
15 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches  
16 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §  
17 11B-502.3.3.

18      24. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper access aisle as there were no  
19 "NO PARKING" markings painted on the parking surface. Additionally, the blue  
20 hatched lines, which indicate the presence of the access aisle were severely deteriorated,  
21 hindering visibility. Moreover, Defendants failed to provide the access aisle with the  
22 minimum width of 96 inches.

23      25. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface  
24 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile  
25 view of a wheelchair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36" wide  
26 by 36" high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum  
27 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the  
28 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the

1 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining  
2 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with  
3 occupant. See CBC § 11B-502.6.4, et seq.

4        26. Here, Defendants failed to maintain the mark on the space with the  
5 International Symbol of Accessibility, resulting in the paint becoming severely  
6 deteriorated, hindering visibility. Defendants failed to paint the International Symbol of  
7 Accessibility on the surface as required as its lower side or corner did not align with the  
8 end of the parking space length.

9        27. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those  
10 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable  
11 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

12        28. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by  
13 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related  
14 regulations.

15        29. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to  
16 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be  
17 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks  
18 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable  
19 by individuals with disabilities.

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

## **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

22       30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
23 paragraphs in this complaint.

24       31. California Civil Code § 51 states, “All persons within the jurisdiction of this  
25 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,  
26 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual  
27 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full

1 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business  
 2 establishments of every kind whatsoever.”

3       32. California Civil Code § 52 states, “Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,  
 4 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 515, or 51.6, is liable  
 5 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be  
 6 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the  
 7 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any  
 8 attorney’s fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any  
 9 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

10      33. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any  
 11 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)  
 12 shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

13      34. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial  
 14 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by  
 15 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.  
 16 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§  
 17 51 and 52.

18      35. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience  
 19 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory  
 20 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

### **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

21      36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
 22 paragraphs in this complaint.

23      37. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be  
 24 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to  
 25 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,  
 26 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,

1 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes  
2 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise  
3 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,  
4 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the  
5 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by  
6 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

7       38. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or  
8 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities  
9 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an  
10 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for  
11 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting  
12 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no  
13 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be  
14 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights  
15 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

16       39. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an  
17 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also  
18 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit  
19 the access of any person in violation of that act.

20       40. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial  
21 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled  
22 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have  
23 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

24       41. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to  
25 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for  
26 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

## **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

# CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.

42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

43. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

44. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for persons with disabilities.

45. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a). Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

## **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

## NEGLIGENCE

46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

47. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities to the Plaintiff.

48. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA, Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition practices;

4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this action;

5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

## **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: May 14, 2025

## SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

By: /s/ Jason J. Kim  
Jason J. Kim, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff